Question Robert Cemper · May 7, 2020

Docker vs. Durability

Working from home during these Corona-days I'm short on resources.

- no Linux machine available

- limited disk space

```
So I decided to give Docker in Windows 10 (named Docker Desktop) a try.
```

) and killing my VMware 🦲

to have Hyper-V.

Next, I tried to implement Durable %SYS

And this was rather disappointing.

Independent of what IRIS container I used (released or preview or community edition) it crashed at start.

Using docker run -it ... (instead of -d) to see what's happening uncovered this strange error.

05/05/20-21:59:12:977 (364) 0 [Utility.Event] Executing rm -f /usr/irissys/bin/irisinstall 05/05/20-21:59:12:981 (364) 0 [Utility.Event] Executing rm

-f /usr/irissys/bin/irisuxsinst

```
05/05/20-21:59:12:986 (364) 0 [Utility.Event] Executing rm -f /external/durable/CloneIncomplete
```

05/05/20-21:59:12:992 (364) 0 [Utility.Event] Instance IRIS data directory moved to /external/durable

05/05/20-21:59:12:993 (364) 0 [Utility.Event] Executing find /usr/irissys/ -type d -exec chmod ugo-w {} +

05/05/20-21:59:13:028 (364) 0 [Utility.Event] Executing

chmod ugo-w /usr/irissys/

[ERROR] Possible causes:

[ERROR] - Incorrect user/group ownership of IRIS

binaries

[ERROR] - Insufficient write permissions to ISC_DATA_DIRECTORY [FATAL] Error starting InterSystems IRIS

The reasons are the high lighted lines ISCDATADIRECTORY had no protection at all and was not affected. More detailed interactive tests showed a mismatch between file ownership and user in docker. AS it happened with several IRIS containers I assume the problem in Desktop Docker and/or it'S underlying Windows 10.

As a workaround for me, I developed a <u>customized lightweight durability</u> solution that avoids this step.

<u>#Containerization</u> <u>#Docker</u> <u>#Field Tests</u> <u>#Microsoft Windows Server</u> <u>#Tips & Tricks</u> <u>#Tools</u> <u>#InterSystems IRIS</u>

Source URL: https://community.intersystems.com/post/docker-vs-durability